INCORPORATED JANUARY 24, 1957

NO. 2 PORTUGUESE BEND ROAD ROLLING HILLS, CA 90274 (310) 377-1521 FAX (310) 377-7288

ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE (To Be Completed By Applicant)

Date	iled Zoning Case No	
<u>GEN</u>	RAL INFORMATION	
1.	Applicant(s) Tel. ()	
	Address	
2.	Legal Owner(s) Tel. ()	
	Address	
3.	Project Address	
	Assessor's Book No Lot No	
4.	Other related permits and other public approvals required for this project, including those required licity, regional, state and federal agencies:	у
5.	Existing zoning district	
6.	Proposed project/use of site	
<u>PRC</u>	ECT DESCRIPTION	
7.	Site size	
8.	Net lot area "Net Lot Area" means the total area included within the lot lines of the lor parcel of property, exclusive of: (a) the entire area within a recorded roadway easement plus the area within ten (10) feet measured perpendicular to the edge of the roadway easement; (b) the ten (10) foot perimeter of the lot perpendicular to the property lines; (c) any private drive or driveway the provides access to any other lot or parcel; and (d) the access strip portion of a flag lot."	ne en
9.	Total square footage of structures	
10.	Number of floors of construction	
11.	Basement square footage	

12.	Total	combined flatwork and structural lot coverage						
13.	Will a	ny exterior walls be removed or relocated? Which walls?						
14.	Will any interior walls be removed or relocated? Which walls?							
15.	Will th	ne entire building structure require a new roof?						
16.	Will th	ne existing roof remain intact, with less than 200 square feet added?						
17.	Drive	way Access and Parking:						
	a.	Is a new driveway access way proposed? (Requires Traffic Commission Review).						
	b.	Number of driveway(s) Existing? Proposed? (Second driveway requires approved Conditional Use Permit from Planning Commission).						
	C.	Width of driveway(s) Existing? Proposed? (Maximum of 20 foot width unless approved by the Planning Commission).						
	d.	Does first 20 feet of driveway have a maximum grade of 7%? (Maximum of 7% for first 20 feet of driveway required). Existing? Proposed?						
	e.	Grade of driveway(s)? Existing? Proposed? (Maximum of 12% unless approved by the Planning Commission).						
	f.	Has site plan been reviewed by Los Angles County Fire Protection Engineer to meet current driveway access requirements?						
		Explain						
	g.	Does driveway cover more than a maximum 20% of the area of the yard in which it is located?						
		Explain						
	h.	Does uncovered parking area cover more than a maximum 10% of the area of the yard in which it is located? Explain						
	i.	Does vehicular accessway to stable and corral have a maximum slope of 25% or less? Stable and corral average vehicular access slope						
	j.	Does property have an above ground garage with a minimum capacity of 2 cars? With guest house a minimum of 3 cars?						
18.		ng quantities shall be balanced. Amount cut Amount fill de any basement cut in grading quantities).						
19.	distu: impe	of disturbance. 40% of net lot area maximum; any remedial grading (temporary rbance), any graded slopes and building pad areas, and any nongraded area where rvious surfaces will remain or are proposed to be added.						
20.	If resi	dential, include the unit size. Square feet						

footage of sales area, estimated employment per shift and loading facilities.
If industrial, indicate the type of project, estimated employment per shift, and loading facilities.
If institutional, indicate the major function, estimated employment per shift, estimated occupand loading facilities, and community benefits to be derived from the project.
Attach plans.
Proposed scheduling
If the project involves a site plan review, variance, conditional use or rezoning application, state the and indicate clearly why the application is required.
RONMENTAL SETTING
Describe the project site as it exists before the project, including information on topography, stability, plants and animals, and any cultural, historical or scenic aspects. Describe any exist structures on the site, and the use of the structures. Attach photographs of the site. Snapshots polaroid photos will be accepted.

28.	Describe the surrounding properties, including infor historical or scenic aspects. Indicate the type of lar land use (one-family, guest house, office use, etc.) back, rear yard, etc.). Attach photographs of the accepted.	nd use (residentia and scale of dev	al, commerc relopment (f	ial, etc.), intensity of neight, frontage, set-
				
29.	Is the proposed project consistent with:	Yes	No	N/A
	City of Rolling Hills General Plan	162	INO	IN/A
	Applicable Specific Plan			
	City of Rolling Hills Zoning Ordinance			
	South Coast Air Quality Management Plan			
	Congestion Management Plan			
	Regional Comprehensive Plan			_
30.	Have any of the following studies been submitted?			
	Geology Report	Histori	cal Report	
	Hydrology Report		eological Re	port
	Soils Report		itological St	
	Traffic Study		Sight Exhib	
	Noise Study	Visual		
	•		•	
	Biological Study	Slope		
	Native Vegetation	Fiscal	Impact Ana	ysis
	Preservation Plan			
	Solid Waste Generation Report		ality Report	
	Public Services/		dous Materia	als/
	Infrastructure Report	Wa	aste	

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the Lead Agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," above may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. See State CEQA Guidelines Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Issues:				
I. AESTHETICS – Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES:				
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				
III. AIR QUALITY – Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors?				
d)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				
<u>IV</u> .	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – Would the project:				
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
b)	Have substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e)	Conflict with any policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES – Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines?				
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines?				
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS – Would the project:				
 a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: 				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?				
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				
iv) Landslides?				
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18- 1B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life and property?				
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				

		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<u>VII</u>	. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project:				
a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area/				
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
g)	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY – Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater able level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted?				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or areas including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or areas including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
 i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? 				
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IX.LAND USE AND PLANNING – Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?				
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				
X. MINERAL RESOURCES – Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
XI. NOISE – Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?				
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels.				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?				
Police protection?				
Schools?				
Parks?				
Other public facilities?				
XIV. RECREATION				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:				
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections?				
b) Exceed either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?				
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., but turnouts, bicycle racks)?				
XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS – Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Control Board?				
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
XVII. STORM WATER QUALITY IMPACTS – Would the project:				
a) During construction result in potential impact on storm water runoff?				
b) Post-construction result in potential impact on storm water runoff				
c) Result in potential for discharge of storm water from areas from material storage, vehicle or equipment fueling, vehicle or equipment maintenance (including washing), waste handling, hazardous materials handlir or storage, delivery areas or loading docks, or other outdoor work areas?				
d) Result in potential for discharge of storm water to impair the beneficial uses of the receiving waters or areas tha provide water quality benefit?				
e) Result in potential for discharge of storm water to cause significant harm on the biological integrity of the waterways and water?				
f) Result in potential for significant changes in the flow velocity or volume of storm water runoff that can cause environmental harm:				
g) Result in potential for significant increases in erosion of the project site or surrounding areas?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects and the effects of probable future projects.)	, –			
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				

NOTE: Before the Lead Agency can accept this application as complete, the applicant must consult the lists prepared pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code and submit a signed statement indicating whether the project and any alternatives are located on a site which is included on any such list, and shall specify any list.

HAZARDOUS WASTE AND SUBSTANCES STATEMENT

The development project and any alternatives proposed in this application are contained on the lists compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code. Accordingly, the project applicant is required to submit a signed statement which contains the following information:

1.	Name of applicant:	
2.		
3.	Phone Number:	
4.	Address of Site (street name a	nd number if available, and ZIP code):
5.	Local Agency (city/county):	
6.	Assessor's book, page, and pa	rcel number:
7.		ection 65962.5 of the Government Code: STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAZARDOUS SITES LIST (available at City Hall).
8.	Regulatory identification number	er:
9.	Date of List: JULY 1992	
Date ₋		Signature
	For	Applicant

		site and any alternatives are not listed on any list compiled the applicant must certify that fact as provided below.	d pursuant to	Section
		rrsuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code and hes proposed in this application are not contained on these lists		that the
Date	_	Signature	-	
	For	Applicant	-	
information required for this in	nitial eva	the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits luation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statemest of my knowledge and belief.		
Date	_	Signature		
	For	Applicant		

Public:PLANNING MASTERS:City of Rolling Hills Environmental Questionnaire Rev. 2009.doc